

**19. PUBLIC HEARINGS**

a. CONSIDERATION OF BILL NO. 2021-1. ADOPTION OF ORDINANCE NO. 2021-\_\_\_\_. (C Rivera)

An Ordinance Relating to the City of Santa Fe Uniform Traffic Ordinance (“UTO”); Amending Various Sections to Insert a Clause Stating that a Person Who Violates a Provision of the Particular Section is Guilty of a Penalty Assessment Misdemeanor; Creating a New Subsection 12-6-12.2A to Establish a Charge for Driving While Intoxicated with a Minor in the Vehicle; Amending Subsection 12-6-12.6 to Remove References to Driving When Privilege to do so Has Been Revoked; Creating a New Subsection 12-6-12.6A to Establish a Charge for Driving While License is Administratively Suspended; Creating a New Subsection 1-6-12.6B to Establish a Charge for Driving When the Privilege to do so Has Been Revoked; Amending Subsection 12-6-13.11 to Establish a Fine for Littering; Amending Subsection 12-10-4.1 to Permit the Use of a Portable Electronic Device to Show Proof of Insurance; Amending Schedule A of the UTO to Add the Fines Associated with the Addition of the Penalty Assessment Misdemeanor Provisions; and Amending Section 24-1.1 SFCC 1987 to Add the UTO as an Exhibit A to the end of Chapter 24, SFCC 1987. (Kyle Hibner, City Prosecutor, kjhibner@santafenm.gov, 955-5195)

**COMMITTEE REVIEW:**

Finance Committee: 01/19/21

Quality of Life Committee: 01/20/21

Governing Body (Request to Publish): 01/27/21

Governing Body (Public Hearing): 02/24/21

**Name:** Stefanie Beninato

**Comment - 02/24/2021 11:53 AM: ( No Vote )**

Not sure if I am in agreement w/ penalty assessments re walking against a light. Often the light will take a full cycle to respond and there is no traffic coming...I understand that the goal is increased safety but I also remember the attempt to increase jaywalking fines and make court appearances mandatory. The police were under pressure to give out tickets rather than respond to more significant calls/situations.